Example calculation:

for the liner:

$$(\sigma_{\theta})_{\max} = p \frac{(k_1^2 + 1)}{(k_1^2 - 1)} - 2 \frac{p_1 k_1^2}{k_1^2 - 1}$$
 at the bore
= 450,000 $(\frac{3.72}{1.72}) - 2 (250,000) (\frac{2.72}{1.72})$
= 184,000 psi

$$(\sigma_{\theta})_{\min} = 0$$

 $(\sigma_{\theta})_{r} = (\sigma_{\theta})_{m} = 92,000 \text{ psi}$
 $\alpha_{r} = \alpha_{m} = \frac{92,000}{263,000} = 0.35 \text{ for } \sigma_{1} = 263,000 \text{ psi}.$

 $\alpha_r = \alpha_m = 0.35$ gives $10^4 - 10^5$ cycles life as shown in Figure 9. If $\sigma_u = 300,000$ psi is the ultimate strength of liner material, then the factor of safety is $\frac{300}{263} = 1.14$ on the liner.

The outer part can be designed with $p/\sigma_1 \rightarrow 1$ for $\alpha_r = 0.5$ as shown in Figure 12. If $\sigma_1 = 250,000$ psi and the ultimate strength of the inner cylinder of the outer part is 300,000 psi then the factor of safety is $\frac{300}{250} = 1.2$ on the outer part. Larger factors of safety are possible with the suggested design if lower support pressures and larger liners are used.

The outside diameter requirements may be reduced by using a multi-ring unit in the inner part rather than just one ring. In this case, it may be that the fluid-support pressure should not be reduced to zero with the bore pressure but reduced to some minimum value in order to provide some prestress in the outer cylinder of the inner part. Controlling the pressure in one annulus does not present as many difficulties as it does in the controlled fluid-fill container design where there are many annuli.

The suggested design can be analyzed using analyses similar to those used in this study. It is suggested that this be done.

REFERENCES

- Fiorentino, R. J., Sabroff, A. M., and Boulger, F. W., "Investigation of Hydrostatic Extrusion", Final Technical Documentary Report No. AFML-TD-64-372, Contract No. AF 33(600)-43328 (January, 1965).
- (2) Fiorentino, R. J., Abramowitz, P. H., Sabroff, A. M., and Boulger, F. W., "Development of the Manufacturing Capabilities of the Hydrostatic Extrusion Process", Interim Engineering Progress Report No. IR-8-198 (III), Contract No. AF 33(615)-1390 (August 1965).
- (3) Fiorentino, R. J., Gehrke, J. H., Abramowitz, P. H., Sabroff, A. M., and Boulger, F. W., "Development of the Manufacturing Capabilities of the Hydrostatic Extrusion Process", Interim Engineering Progress Report No. IR-8-198 (I), Contract No. AF 33(615)-1390 (February, 1965).
- (4) Manning, W.R.D., "High Pressure Engineering", University of Nottingham, Bulleid Memorial Lectures, Vol II, Lecture II, Chapter 4 (1963).
- (5) Manning, W.R.D., "The Design of Compound Cylinders for High Pressure Service", Engineering, pp 349-352 (May 2, 1947).
- (6) Manning, W.R.D., "Residual Contact Stresses in Built-Up Cylinders", Engineering, p 464 (Dec. 8, 1950).
- (7) Poulter, T. C., "High Pressure Apparatus", U. S. Patent No. 2, 554, 499 (May 9, 1951), Code No. P67.35, Annotated Bibliography on High Pressure Technology, ASME, Butterworths (May, 1964).
- (8) Ballhausen, C., German Patent No. 1, 142, 341, January 17, 1963.
- (9) Gerard, G., and Brayman J., "Hydrostatic Press for an Elongated Object", Barogenics, Inc., U. S. Patent No. 3,091,804, June 4, 1963.
- (10) Fuchs, F. J., Jr., "Production Metal Forming With Hydrostatic Pressures", Western Electric Company, ASME Publication No. 65-PROD-17 (June 1965).
- (11) Zeitlin, Alexander, Brayman, J., and Boggio, F. George, "Isostatic and Hydrostatic Equipment for Industrial Applications of Very High Pressure", ASME Paper No. 64-WA/PT-14.
- (12) Manson, S. S. and Hirschberg, M. H., "Fatigue Behavior in Strain Cycling in the Low and Intermediate Cycle Range", 10th Sagamore Army Materials Research Conference, Sagamore, New York (August 13-16, 1963).
- (13) Morrison, J. L. M., Crossland, B., and Parry, J. C. S., "The Strength of Thick Cylinders Subjected to Repeated Internal Pressure", J. of Engineering for Industry, Trans. ASME, Series B, Vol 82, pp 143-153 (1960).
- (14) Aerospace Structural Materials Handbook, Vol I, Table 3.051.